

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/881,858 | 06/15/2001 | Kumiko Sugiyama | 7217/64725 | 8536 |
| 7590 05/21/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| JAY H. MAIOLI | | | PEREZ, ANGELICA | |
| COOPER & DUNHAM LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| New York, NY 10036 | | | 2684 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004 | 4 7 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/881,858 | SUGIYAMA ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Angelica M. Perez | 2684 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply | pears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 h | March 2004. | | | | | |
| | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | · | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11. | cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawing | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been received. ts have been received in a crity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No received in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/881,858 Page 2

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/881,858 Page 3

Art Unit: 2684

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimura (Kimura et al.; US Patent No. 6539,243 B1) in view of Jarrad (Jarrad, Salah Khalil; US Patent No. 6,047,197 A).

Regarding claim 1, Kimura teaches of a portable telephone (figure 1, column 1, lines 5-7) for executing a plurality of functions (column 1, lines 40-53) selected from hierarchically structured menus (column 5, lines 63-67; column 7, lines 14-23 and column 1, lines 24-29 and 46-48; where the "predetermined order" and "main items" and "sub-items" represent "hierarchies"), the telephone comprising: a plurality of execution keys (column 1, lines 40-43; e.g., "buttons" corresponding to "keys") for instructing execution of respective functions (column 1, lines 40-43) from the plurality of functions; function assigning means for assigning selected ones of the plurality of functions desired to respective ones of the plurality of execution keys (column 1, lines 43-46); display means for displaying information (figure 1, item 4 and lines 4-12 of the abstract); and function executing means for executing the function assigned to the execution key in response to one of a pressing of the execution key (column 1, lines 40-43).

Kimura does not teach of a five-direction jog dial for movement in any of five directions, so as to perform input operations relative to the information displayed on the display means.

In related art concerning an icon driven phone menu system, Jarrad teaches of a five-direction jog dial for movement in any of five directions, so as to perform input operations relative to the information displayed on the display means (figure 1, item 14, column 2, lines 63-67 and column 3, lines 1-16; where the "five switches" have the

Application/Control Number: 09/881,858

Art Unit: 2684

same function described in the invention) (In addition, US publication no.: 2003/0117380 A1 attached as reference, paragraph 0017 refers item 3, in figure 1, as

"jog dial" which compares to item 14 found in the Jarrad's art used in this rejection).

It would have been obvious to a one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Kimura's portable telephone for executing a plurality of functions with Jarrad's "five-direction jog dial" in order to facilitate the usage of the functions found in a portable telephone.

Regarding claim 2, Kimura teaches all the limitations according to claim 1. In addition, Kimura teaches where the plurality of execution keys is provided near the display means (lines 7-9 of the abstract); and the display means displays symbols representing the respective functions assigned to the execution keys by thee function assigning means near the plurality of execution keys (e.g., "icons" corresponding to "symbols"; columns 3 and 4, lines 56-67 and 1-16, respectively).

Regarding claim 3, Kimura teaches all the limitations according to claim 1.

Kimura also teaches where the function assigning means assigns the function desired to the execution key regardless of a hierarchy of a menu containing the function (column 8, lines 17-23; e.g., where the function is "consecutively performed" regardless of hierarchy of a menu).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angelica Perez whose telephone number is 703-305-8724. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:15 a.m. - 3:55 p.m., Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on 703-308-7745. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2600's customer service number is 703-306-0377.

Angelica Perez (Examiner) SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Nay A. Maung (SPE)

Art Unit 2684